Spring Faculty Workshop

1964

TRITON COLLEGE

January 20, 2017

Strengthening Outcomes

Our Colleague & Friend





Welcome New Mid-Managers





Brent Damsz Testing Center Coordinator



Denise Jones Director of Student Support Services

Welcome New Mid-Managers





Johnathan Nelson Planetarium Educator





Electronic Forms

College Council

Assurance Argument

Fire & Emergency Services Higher Education National Recognition





TRIUMPH

Student Centered - Service Centered

Diversity Dialogue



College Website

Common Application for Scholarships Strategic Plan Annual Report Title V Federal Grant Proposal Career and College Readiness Standards

Fiscal Update

FY 17 Base Operating Grant - \$1,929,184

FY 17 Adult Education - \$1,473,760
 Federal - \$552,660
 State - \$921,100
 allocated, not appropriated

▼ FY 17 Monetary Aid Program (MAP)

Assurance Argument: Collective Campus Input Results

Faculty Workshop 1/20/17

HLC is coming ... again?

• Last Visit - Fall 2013

- Part of PEAQ process
- Wrote a self-study (approx 65,000 words)

• Upcoming Visit - March 5-6, 2018

- Part of new Standard Pathway process (Year 4 Visit)
- Writing Assurance Argument (35,000 word limit)

Preparing Assurance Argument

 Conduct an <u>inclusive</u> self-evaluation that identifies strengths and challenges for each criteria and articulates plans for improvement

What is the ulterior motive?

Merriam Webster: ulterior motive = a secret reason

Our motives are plain:

- Create a strong assurance argument that demonstrates we meet criteria of accreditation
- Conduct a self evaluation that leads to an improved institution
- Come out of the visit with no additional monitoring
 - <u>Only</u> shot of this is to have an <u>authentic</u> and <u>transparent</u> process by which people contribute to our evaluation

What did we do to obtain input last semester?

- Coffee and Conversation 18 sessions
- College Hours 2 sessions
- Committee Meetings 18 visits
- **Student Input -** 8 times/locations
- Written Input form on portal, distributed by College Council members and at venues above

1,179 Comments Received

What did we do with all of those comments?

- Needed a <u>transparent</u> process by which we used those comments to inform argument while protecting anonymity of contributors
 - Topic Modeling
 - Created report to capture process, evaluation, results; will be available internally (also, to HLC!)
- Topic Modeling
 - Organizing Comments
 - Comments are sorted by HLC's five criteria
 - As much as possible, comments pertaining to a given topic were grouped within the same criteria.
 - Topic Tags were assigned to each comment
 - Collectively Identified Strengths/Challenges
 - No more than five collectively identified strengths and challenges for each criterion
 - A topic must have a minimum of five tags in total to qualify as a collectively identified strength or challenge.

What is reflected in the results?

Our **<u>COLLECTIVE</u>** voice

- Results reflect the most commonly expressed opinions
- As an individual, you may not agree with all statements
 - As individual comments in the report reflect, there is a wide range of opinion on all topics
- Collective challenges are not attacks on individuals or departments; they are institutional concerns



Criterion 1: Mission - Strengths

Community Commitment

Consensus: As an institution, we have a strong presence in the community and strive to serve our community's needs through our services, offerings, events, and partnerships.

Mission

Consensus: The mission statement clearly articulates our guiding principles, as well as our employees' shared goal of serving students.

Human Capital

Consensus: Our employees are dedicated to the college's mission and truly care about our students.



Criterion 1: Mission - Challenges

Marketing/External Communication

Consensus: We need more marketing that emphasizes the quality of our offerings and is specifically targeted towards our district's changing demographics.

Community Outreach

Consensus: We should develop mechanisms for specific and intentional community outreach, both with respect to student recruitment and business/program partnerships.

Diversity

Consensus: We should institutionalize diversity efforts by integrating diversity practices into the institution's culture, for example, through more robust staff and faculty training, targeted support services for our diverse populations, and intentional efforts to increase the diversity of our faculty and staff.

Compliance Terminal Processes Compliance Terminal Transparency Compliance Terminal Terminal Transparency Compliance Terminal Termina

Criterion 2: Integrity - Strengths

Criterion 2: Integrity - Strengths

Affordable Tuition

Consensus: As an institution, our affordability provides students with a quality education at low cost.

Campus Renewal

Consensus: The campus renewal projects beautify the campus and demonstrate a commitment to the future of the institution.

Institutional Policies

Consensus: We have articulated policies for key areas that are available in a single location, and revisions have recently been made to some of these policies in accordance with HLC's requirements.



Criterion 2: Integrity - Challenges

Internal Transparency

Consensus: We must improve our internal transparency efforts through more effective communication, increased shared information, and clearer lines of accountability.

Public Transparency

Consensus: Information related to our contracts, agreements, and procedures need to be more readily available and accessible online.

Institutional Processes/Process Consistency

Consensus: We should have clearly articulated processes that are consistently applied and enforced.



Criterion 3: Teaching and Learning: Quality, Resources, and Support - Strengths

Faculty

Consensus: We employ highly qualified faculty who engage students in the classroom and are invested in their success.

Support Services

Consensus: We provide a number of support services to aid students in the successful completion of their academic and career goals.

Library

Consensus: Our library provides a welcoming environment for students with knowledgeable faculty/staff and ample resources.



Counseling/Academic Planning

Consensus: Our current model combines the role of counselor and academic advisor, which presents challenges to effectively serving students and meeting our academic planning goals.

Professional Development

Consensus: We need dedicated resources and an intentional approach to the professional development of faculty that emphasizes current trends in teaching and curricula.

Faculty Numbers

Consensus: We have decreased our number of full-time faculty in recent years and have a high adjunct-to-full-time ratio (6:1), which directly affects the success of students.

Online Education

Consensus: More structure is needed around the operation of our online courses to encourage innovation, ensure compliance, and improve students' success rates.

Tutoring

Consensus: While tutoring is a great resource for students, we need to increase student use, tutor availability, and collaboration between our tutors and professors.



Criterion 4: Teaching and Learning:

Evaluation and Improvement - Strengths

Criterion 4: Teaching and Learning: Evaluation and Improvement - Strengths

Institutional Improvement

Consensus: We have made progress on creating a culture of assessment by increasing our institutional focus on assessment tools and training and expanding systematic assessment processes beyond academic affairs.

Academic Assessment

Consensus: Supported by the work of the Assessment Committee, we have improved the quality and quantity of our assessment in academic areas.

Prior Learning Assessment (PLA)

Consensus: We have established processes that enable us to award credit for prior learning while also ensuring quality.

Internal Program Review (IPR)

Consensus: The Internal Program Review (IPR) process is designed to support the ICCB review process and continuous institutional improvement.



Criterion 4: Teaching and Learning: Evaluation and Improvement - Challenges

Criterion 3: Teaching and Learning: Evaluation and Improvement- Challenges

Closing the Loop

Consensus: Though we have improved in the quantity and quality of our assessments, we still struggle with using the data produced by those assessments to inform and enact meaningful change to academic and non-academic areas of the college.

Internal Program Review (IPR)

Consensus: While we have a program review process in place, we are still having difficulty using institutional data related to this process, both within the evaluation process itself and after the results of the IPR process are produced.



Institutional Effectiveness - Strengths

Criterion 5: Resources, Planning, and Institutional Effectiveness - Strengths

Comparative Budgeting

Consensus: Our comparative budgeting process intentionally integrates the use of assessment data, long-term planning, and institutional resource allocation.

Fiscal Management

Consensus: We have navigated the financial challenges presented by the state budget impasse through sound fiscal management.



Criterion 5: Resources, Planning, and Institutional Effectiveness - Challenges

Criterion 5: Resources, Planning, and Institutional Effectiveness -

Staff Training

Challenges

Consensus: Management must prioritize training for staff that provides detailed content knowledge for new employees and emphasizes service skills.

Campus Culture

Consensus: We must build trust between employee groups and promote a work culture where employees are encouraged to engage without fear and feel respected and valued.

Departmental Collaboration

Consensus: Across the institution, we need to build relationships between departmental areas and increase our effectiveness by encouraging collaborative work and processes.

Internal Communication

Consensus: We need thoughtful, intentional, and targeted communication plans that share information on critical initiatives between departmental areas and across employee groups.

Adjunct Inclusion/Communication

Consensus: We must make stronger efforts to provide our adjunct community with information about the institution and our services, as well as offer opportunities for adjunct faculty to engage with their departments and peers.

What's Next?

• Spring 2017 - Address Collectively Identified Challenges/Draft Argument

- Form Criterion Teams
 - Small teams of faculty and staff for each criterion
 - Each team will be led by a faculty/staff partnership
- Criterion Team's Role
 - Review and discuss collective challenges identified
 - Propose plans of action for addressing these challenges
 - Review drafts of the criterion chapter for the Assurance Argument

Interested in serving on a team? Contact me! (pamelaperry@triton.edu)

- Summer 2017 Revising Argument/Linking Evidence
- Fall 2017 Finalizing Argument/Submitting to HLC
- Spring 2018 Visit preparation/Visit (March 5-6 Visit)



Tuesday, January 17

🕜 Horr	e - Accreditation	×										
(https://mytriton.triton	.edu/employee-resource	s/accreditation/Pages/	default.aspx						¢	C	Q Search
		ton n College Portal	COLOR COLOR									Pame
Site Actions	- 过 📝 Browse	Page Publish										
Home マ	Academic Resources ⊽	Student Financials 🗢	Student Services ⊽	Campus Resources ▽	Faculty Resources ♥	Employee Resources 🗢	,					
Status: My	y personalized view of t	he page										

MyTriton > Employee Resources > Accreditation

Welcome to Planning and Accreditation!

Pamela Perry Director of Planning and Accreditation pperry13@triton.edu 708.456.0300 x 3415

Accreditation

Triton College is accredited by the Higher Learning Commission; member, North Central Association.

For more information regarding our institutional accreditation, please visit our accreditation webpage at: www.triton.edu/accreditation.

Documentation regarding our institutional accreditation may be found in the "Documents" section below, in the folder titled "Higher Learning Commission."

Planning

Through the collaboration of faculty, staff, and the local community, the institution developed a seven-year strategic plan (FY 2015-2021) that focuses on key three strategic goals:

1. Increase College Readiness

2. Improve College Completion

3. Close the Skills Gap

Whether teaching microbiology, improving our facilities and grounds, advising students regarding financial aid, greeting students at the welcome desk, or undertaking any other of filled by faculty and staff, the daily work and collaboration of Triton College's employees drive the achievement of the above strategic goals and further our mission of student success.

For more information regarding our strategic plan, please visit our planning webpage at: www.triton.edu/strategicplanning

Documents					
Name					
Document Type + Documentation of Reference Material (6)					
Document Type : Higher Learning Commission (12)					
Document Type : Strategic Plan - Master Versions (2)					
Document Type : Strategic Plan - Semi-Annual Report (2)					

Additional Info

Through the collaboration of faculty, staff, and the local community, the institution developed a seven-year strategic plan (FY 2015-2021) that focuses on key three strategic goals:

1. Increase College Readiness

2. Improve College Completion

3. Close the Skills Gap

Whether teaching microbiology, improving our facilities and grounds, advising students regarding financial aid, greeting students at the welcome desk, or undertaking any other of the countless roles filled by faculty and staff, the daily work and collaboration of Triton College's employees drive the achievement of the above strategic goals and further our mission of student success.

For more information regarding our strategic plan, please visit our planning webpage at: www.triton.edu/strategicplanning

Doo	cument Type : Higher Learning Commission (13)		
	Summary of Findings - HLC Wrap-Up		
	FINAL - Triton College Self-Study 2013		
	Self-Study 2013 Appendix A		FAQ
	Self-Study 2013 Appendix B		
	College Hour Presentation - HLC Visit Preparation (9-26-13)		_ _ q
	HLC Visit Schedule (updated 10-17-13)		
	HLC 2013 Comprehensive Visit - Final Report 3 4 14		
	Monitoring Report A, Full Draft 8-2015	~	
	HLC IL Budget Letter 2-4-16	-	
	Triton College State Budget Impasse Report - 2-18-16		
	Assurance Argument Outline (DRAFT)		
	Assurance Argument Input Constal (Revised)		
	Collective Campus Input - Consolidated Document (FINAL)		Resour
200	ocument Type : Strategic Plan - master versions (2)		Higher L
000	cument type : Strategic Plan - Master Versions (2)		Triton Co
Doo	cument Type : Strategic Plan - Semi-Annual Report (2)		Triton Co

Additional Info

Title

There are no items to show in this view of the "Additional Info" list. To add a new item, click "New".

Add new announcement



THANK YOU!





Committed to Working Together